

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22

BEFORE THE
ILLINOIS COMMERCE COMMISSION
BENCH MEETING
PUBLIC UTILITY
Tuesday, January 5, 2016
Chicago, Illinois

Met, pursuant to notice, at 10:30 A.M.,
at 160 North La Salle Street, Chicago, Illinois.

- PRESENT:
- BRIEN J. SHEAHAN, Chairman
 - ANN MCCABE, Commissioner
 - SHERINA E. MAYE EDWARDS, Commissioner
 - MIGUEL DEL VALLE, Commissioner
 - JOHN R. ROSALES, Commissioner

SULLIVAN REPORTING COMPANY, by
PATRICIA WESLEY
CSR NO. 084-002170

1 CHAIRMAN SHEAHAN: Good morning. Are we ready to
2 proceed in Springfield?

3 CHIEF CLERK: Yes, we are.

4 CHAIRMAN SHEAHAN: Pursuant to the Open Meetings
5 Act, I call to order the January 5, 2016 Bench
6 Meeting of the Illinois Commerce Commission.

7 Commissioners McCabe, del Valle,
8 Edwards and Rosales are present with me in Chicago.
9 We have a quorum.

10 We have no requests to speak and will,
11 therefore, move into our Public Utility Bench
12 Meeting Agenda.

13 There are edits to the minutes of our
14 December 9th Bench Meeting.

15 Are there any objections to approving
16 the Minutes of December 9th as edited?

17 (No response.)

18 Hearing none, the minutes as edited
19 are approved.

20 Items E-1 through 4 concern various
21 complaints filed against Commonwealth Edison.

22 Are there any objections to

1 considering these items together and granting the
2 Joint Motions to Dismiss?

3 (No response.)

4 Hearing none, the motions are granted
5 and the complaints are dismissed.

6 Item E-5 concerns Ameren's petition
7 seeking approval of its proposed reconciliations
8 under riders for uncollectible electric and gas
9 adjustments.

10 Are there any objections to approving
11 the proposed Order?

12 (No response.)

13 Hearing none, the Order is approved.

14 Items E-6 and 7 are Applications for
15 Licensure to Operate as Agents, Brokers and
16 Consultants.

17 Are there any objections to
18 considering these items together and granting the
19 proposed Orders?

20 (No response.)

21 Hearing none, the Orders are approved.

22 Items E-8 and 9 are petitions

1 requesting Cancellation of Certificates of Service
2 Authority.

3 Are there any objections to
4 considering these items together and granting the
5 proposed Orders cancelling certificates?

6 (No response.)

7 Hearing none, the Orders are approved.

8 Items G-1 and 2 concern North Shore
9 and Peoples Gas tariff filings to clarify the
10 demarcation between the two companies' gas piping.

11 Is there any objections to considering
12 these items together and not suspending the filing?

13 (No response.)

14 Hearing none, the filings are not
15 suspended.

16 Item G-3 concerns the approval of
17 Atmos Energy's Reconciliation of Revenues Collected
18 under Gas Adjustment Charges.

19 Are there any objections to approving
20 the proposed Order?

21 (No response.)

22 Hearing none, the Order is approved.

1 Item G-4 concerns the approval of
2 Ameren's Reconciliation of Revenues Collected under
3 its Gas Adjustment Charges.

4 Are there any objections to approving
5 the proposed Order?

6 (No response.)

7 Hearing none, the Order is approved.

8 Item G-5 --

9 COMMISSIONER del VALLE: Mr. Chairman.

10 CHAIRMAN SHEAHAN: Sure.

11 COMMISSIONER del VALLE: Mr. Chairman, happy New
12 Year to everyone.

13 On the lost gas issue, the Order
14 states that in response to requests for invoices it
15 has provided only one invoice. As I see it, that
16 indicates that there were 1,199 excavation damages
17 in 2012. Is that 1,199 or is that one invoice for
18 that 1,199 amount?

19 CHAIRMAN SHEAHAN: Let's make sure.

20 Gene, are you in Springfield?

21 (No response.)

22 Do we have other Staff in Springfield

1 who are prepared to answer this?

2 MR. BEYER: This is Gene and Eric Lounsberry.

3 COMMISSIONER del VALLE: Did you hear my
4 question?

5 MR. BEYER: To my understanding, it's one invoice
6 for one event.

7 COMMISSIONER del VALLE: To one event?

8 Now we have instructed Staff to work
9 with Ameren to develop a policy, is that correct --

10 MR. BEYER: Yes.

11 COMMISSIONER del VALLE: -- to deal with lost
12 gas?

13 MR. BEYER: Yes.

14 COMMISSIONER del VALLE: Is this something new to
15 us in an area that's new? Is there any history of
16 us addressing this issue as a Commission in prior
17 dockets?

18 MR. BEYER: I'm not aware of this issue being
19 brought to the Commission's attention in the past.

20 COMMISSIONER del VALLE: Okay. So can you
21 explain why we are seeing it now?

22 MR. BEYER: I'm aware that the topic has been

1 part of our review and reconciliations for several
2 years. I expect you are seeing it now because we
3 don't like what is going on at Ameren at the moment.

4 COMMISSIONER del VALLE: Okay. And so we haven't
5 done or required any calculations of costs that is
6 passed on to ratepayers to be done in the past?

7 MR. BEYER: Would you repeat that. I'm not sure
8 if I caught that.

9 COMMISSIONER del VALLE: In the past we have not
10 done or required any calculations of the cost of the
11 lost gas due to excavation damages that ends up paid
12 through the PGA?

13 MR. BEYER: I'll have to check into that and get
14 back to you.

15 COMMISSIONER del VALLE: Okay. The reason I'm
16 asking these questions is because we are requesting
17 that that policy be developed here and the first
18 question that came to my mind, and I agree with
19 that.

20 Of course, I agree with Staff on this
21 because I don't think that these folks who do the
22 damage should walk away from their responsibility of

1 covering that cost of lost gas, and I know in some
2 cases -- in many cases it doesn't amount to a lot,
3 but when I look at the figure and I look at
4 1200 excavation damages, I think that that could add
5 up, but I see that we are dealing specifically, of
6 course, with Ameren here, but my question is are we
7 going to develop a policy and a price to all the gas
8 companies in the same way?

9 MR. BEYER: Usually the way we have done things
10 in the past on topics like this is we develop a
11 policy for one company and we'll make sure it's
12 applied to all of them or we will review the other
13 companies for consistency.

14 COMMISSIONER del VALLE: Okay. Thank you.

15 COMMISSIONER MAYE EDWARDS: Mr. Chairman.

16 CHAIRMAN SHEAHAN: Commissioner Edwards.

17 COMMISSIONER MAYE EDWARDS: Thank you,

18 Mr. Chairman.

19 Thank you and good morning to both of
20 you, Eric and Gene. I have a question.

21 Just based on something in response to
22 Commissioner del Valle's question, you stated that

1 we are seeing this now because of some concerns of
2 Staff, and you don't necessarily like what you have
3 seen, yet the Order was approving the
4 reconciliation.

5 So is there no -- does that go hand in
6 hand? You are not seeing -- you think there's some
7 cause for concern. Is it still appropriate for you
8 to recommend the reconciliation?

9 MR. BEYER: Okay. The witness to the case does
10 not define issues -- what reconciliation they had
11 issues with. They had concern about lack of policy
12 in the fact there's only one adjustment for all the
13 noted hits.

14 I can't really speak to why that
15 resulted in the reconciliation being approved. I
16 would have to look into it a little bit more.

17 COMMISSIONER MAYE EDWARDS: Thank you.

18 CHAIRMAN SHEAHAN: Commissioner McCabe.

19 COMMISSIONER McCABE: Any sense of -- there were
20 a lot of third-party hits in the gas utilities.
21 What percentage of those resulted in diminutive gas
22 loss versus when these invoices will be relevant

1 versus how many are just diminutive?

2 MR. BEYER: I have no information along that
3 line.

4 COMMISSIONER McCABE: Any sense of other states
5 that may have a practice or model to look at best
6 practice?

7 MR. BEYER: I'm not aware of that either.

8 COMMISSIONER McCABE: Thank you.

9 CHAIRMAN SHEAHAN: Okay. Moving back to our
10 agenda Item G-5 concerns North Shore and Peoples'
11 Petition to Determine the Adequacy of their Volume
12 Balancing Adjustment Riders, are there any
13 objections to approving the proposed Order?

14 (No response.)

15 Hearing none, the Order is approved.

16 Item G-6 is a complaint filed against
17 Peoples Gas regarding billing in Chicago.

18 Are there any objections to approving
19 the parties' Joint Motion to Dismiss?

20 (No response.)

21 Hearing none, the motion is granted
22 and the complaint is dismissed.

1 Item 7 concerns Glacial Natural Gas'
2 Petition for Requesting Cancellation of a
3 Certificate of Service Authority.

4 Are there any objections to approving
5 the proposed Order canceling the certificate?

6 (No response.)

7 Hearing none, the Order is approved.

8 Item G-8 concerns Future Now Energy's
9 Application for a Certificate of Service Authority.

10 Are there any objections to approving
11 the proposed Order?

12 (No response.)

13 Hearing none, the Order is approved.

14 Item T-1 concerns Talk America's
15 Application for an Amended Certificate of Service
16 Authority.

17 Are there any objections to approving
18 the Amended Order?

19 (No response.)

20 Hearing none, the Amended Order is
21 approved.

22 Item W-1 is a complaint filed against

1 Illinois-American Water regarding billing.

2 Are there any objections to approving
3 the parties' Joint Motion to Dismiss?

4 (No response.)

5 Hearing none, the motion is granted
6 and the complaint is dismissed.

7 Item PR-1 concerns an Application for
8 Rehearing filed in the proceeding granted in Ameren
9 Transmission Company's request for authority.

10 Is there a motion to deny the
11 Application for Rehearing.

12 COMMISSIONER McCABE: So move.

13 CHAIRMAN SHEAHAN: Is there a second?

14 COMMISSIONER ROSALES: Second.

15 CHAIRMAN SHEAHAN: All those in favor, say aye.

16 (Chorus of ayes.)

17 Opposed, say nay.

18 (No response.)

19 The ayes have it and the request for
20 rehearing is denied.

21 JUDGE VON QUALEN: Chairman, this is

22 ALJ Von Qualen in Springfield.

1 CHAIRMAN SHEAHAN: Yes, Judge.

2 JUDGE VON QUALEN: Excuse me, Chairman, did you
3 speak on Item G-4, which was Ameren?

4 CHAIRMAN SHEAHAN: We did and it was approved by
5 unanimous consent.

6 JUDGE VON QUALEN: Thank you.

7 CHAIRMAN SHEAHAN: We just happened to have some
8 questions after it was approved.

9 JUDGE VON QUALEN: All right. Thank you.

10 CHAIRMAN SHEAHAN: We have one other item of
11 business this morning concerning the status of a
12 transmission cost allocation proceeding before the
13 FERC in Docket EL05-121-009. This item involves
14 potential litigation, and so we'll enter
15 into closed session for our discussion.

16 Is there a motion to enter into closed
17 session?

18 COMMISSIONER ROSALES: So move.

19 CHAIRMAN SHEAHAN: Is there a second?

20 COMMISSIONER MAYE EDWARDS: Second.

21

22

1 CHAIRMAN SHEAHAN: All those in favor, say aye.

2 (Chorus of ayes.)

3 Opposed, say nay.

4 (No response.)

5 The ayes have it and we will move into
6 closed session and we will ask for the hearing room
7 in Chicago and Springfield to be cleared.

8 (Whereupon, Pages 15 to
9 26 the following proceedings
10 were held in Closed
11 Session:)

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22

(Open Session.)

We are back in open session.

Judge Kimbrel, do we have any other matters to come before the Commission today?

JUDGE KIMBREL: No, nothing further, Mr. Chairman.

CHAIRMAN SHEAHAN: Commissioners, do we have any other business to discuss this morning?

(No response.)

Hearing none, we stand adjourned.

Thank you.

(Whereupon, the above matter was adjourned.)